Webster's Method Lecture 22 Section 4.4

Robb T. Koether

Hampden-Sydney College

Fri, Oct 13, 2017

Robb T. Koether (Hampden-Sydney College)

Webster's Method

Fri, Oct 13, 2017 1 / 10

э

DQC

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト







Robb T. Koether (Hampden-Sydney College)

Webster's Method

ъ Fri, Oct 13, 2017 2/10

э

DQC

∃ ⊳

I > < 
I >
I







Robb T. Koether (Hampden-Sydney College)

Webster's Method

Fri, Oct 13, 2017 3 / 10

æ

DQC

<ロト < 回ト < 回ト < 回ト

### **Definition (Webster's Method)**

By Webster's method, we start with the *rounded* quotas. If the total is too small, then we use a smaller modified divisor. If the total is too large, then we use a larger modified divisor. The process continues until the rounded modified quotas add up to M.

A B F A B F

# Example (Example)

- Apply Jefferson's method to the three states *A*, *B*, and *C*, with populations 3 million, 6 million, and 7 million and 50 seats to be apportioned.
- We found SD = 320000 and  $q_1 = 9.375$ ,  $q_2 = 18.75$ , and  $q_3 = 21.875$ .
- Apply Webster's method.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

#### Example

- The populations of CA, TX, AK, and WY, in tens of thousands, are 3725, 2515, 71, and 56 people, respectively.
- Use Webster's method to apportion 91 seats.

A B < A B <</p>

# 1 Webster's Method





Robb T. Koether (Hampden-Sydney College)

Webster's Method

Fri, Oct 13, 2017 7 / 10

э

DQC

<ロト < 回ト < 回ト < 回ト

## Example (Comparisons)

- Let the populations of five states, in millions, be 11, 12, 13, 14, and 100.
- Apportion 28 seats to these states using Hamilton's, Jefferson's, Adams's, and Webster's methods.
- Compare the results.
- Which states are favored by the different methods?

∃ ► < ∃ ►</p>

Webster's Method





Robb T. Koether (Hampden-Sydney College)

Webster's Method

Fri, Oct 13, 2017 9 / 10

э

DQC

<ロト < 回ト < 回ト < 回ト

# Assignment

• Chapter 4: Exercises 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40.

Robb T. Koether (Hampden-Sydney College)

Webster's Method

Fri, Oct 13, 2017 10 / 10

э

Sac

▲御▶ ▲ 国▶ ▲ 国≯